Providing of Fuuds to the Pavagada Municipality for Water Supply Scheme.

*Q.—171. Sri P. ANJANAPPA (Sira).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Municipality of Pavagada Town should repay the loan raised for the water supply scheme of that town ;

(*b*) whether it has come to their notice that the said Municipality has levied taxes on several items on this ground ;

(*c*) whether the Municipality is not now in a position to finance the water supply scheme ;

(*d*) whether funds will be provided to execute the work ?

*A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) Loan has not yet been sanctioned for the water supply scheme.

(*b*) No. The Municipality has not so far adopted all the taxation rules and bye-laws for improving the finances.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) If the Municipality improves its finances and is in a position to repay the loan, the question of sanctioning loan for improvement of the water supply, will be considered.

Sri T. TARE GOWDA.—With reference to answer (*b*), may I know what are the taxation rules and bye-laws under which the Municipality has not taken action to improve the income of the municipality ?

†Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Sir, they have not implemented all the bye-laws. They have not obtained sanction to some and in respect of some they have obtained sanction very recently. The Divisional Commissioner recommends to say that there should be an increase of revenue by about Rs. 29,000. The present revenue of the Municipality is about Rs. 50,000 and current expenditure would amount to Rs. 45,000 and odd. The Statute requires that they must have a cash balance of about Rs. 4,000 and odd. The Divisional Commissioner recommended that this Municipality was not financially capable of returning the loan. Now that they have implemented about 7 of the bye-laws, we have instructed the Divisional Commissioner to see that other bye-laws are also enforced by obtaining due sanction. Now we have also sent back the file for re-estimate. Originally, the cost was about Rs. 2 lakhs and odd, and the Municipality was incapable of returning the loan. Papers are pending with the Chief Engineer and the estimates have to be brought down between Rs. 1½ lakhs and Rs. 2 lakhs. The Government is very sympathetic in considering the necessity for supply of pure water to Pavagada and action will be taken immediately after the receipt of the estimate from the Engineering Department.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—When were these bye-laws framed ? What are the reasons for the Municipality not giving effect to those bye-laws and are the Government aware that Pavagada people are suffering for want of good water and that diseases are prevailing.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Too many questions.

Sri G. N. PUTTANNA.—All right, I will put one question. When were these bye-laws framed and why were they not given effect to ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—For the first time, the Government instructed them to frame rules and bye-laws that were allowed under the law. With regard to bye-laws and rules for the levy of octroi they were instructed on the 25th November 1958 and we received them late and we have sanctioned them in February 1959 ; bye laws for levy and recovery of toll on vehicles were sanctioned in February 1959 ; rules for levy and recovery of lighting tax were received in October 1958 and we sanctioned them on the 21st November 1958; rules for levy and recovery of shop tax were received in October 1958 and they were sanctioned in the same month ; rules for the levy and recovery of special sanitary cess were sanctioned in 1959 ; bye-laws for regulating any business in

municipal matters were sanctioned in August 1958. But the other bye-laws which bring them enormous sums of money have not yet been sanctioned and we have not yet received the recommendations for them. I will give the details of those bye-laws also; for bye-laws for levy and recovery of lighting tax thay have not obtained sanction; though the bye-laws for levy and recovery of toll on vehicles were sanctioned partially. we have asked them to increase the tax; bye-laws for regulating the inspection of buildings, houses, hotels, restaurants, sweet-meat stalls, etc...

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಬಹಳ ದೊಡ್ಡದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ನುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಹೌದು, ಈಗ ಇರುವ ಹಾಗೆ 29,000 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಬರುವ ಬೈಲಾಸ್ ಅಪ್ರೂವ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇನ್ನೂ 10-15 ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ ಜಾಸ್ತಿ ಪರಮಾನ ಬರುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡಿ; ನೀರು ಕೊಡುವ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎನ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಆದಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಸ್ಯಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ನುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಅದಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆಯಾಗಿ ಸ್ಯಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

Construction of Hagaribommanahalli Project.

*Q.—258. Sri M. M. PATEEL (Hadagalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the reasons for not implementing the scheme of Hagari-Bommanahalli Project which is in the scarcity area, though it was promised in the winter session of the Assembly by the Revenue Minister that the said work would be taken up immediately;

(*b*) since how long the said scheme is pending?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) It has not been possible to secure contractors in spite of repeated tender calls. A small portion of the work was therefore taken up for execution departmentally in order to provide employment to local labour. Even this has not attracted much labour. Recently, after splittiug up the work and calling for fresh tenders, there has been some response and the tenders received are being scrutinised.

(*b*) Since March 1959.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್. ಈ ಯೋಜನೆ ನಿಧಾನವಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೂಲಿಗಾರರು ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತಾ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ವಾರ ವಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಕೂಲಿ ದುಡ್ಡು ಪಾವತಿಆಗದೆ ಇರುವ ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಕೂಲಿಗಾರರು ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಬರುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬುದು ನಿಜ ವಲ್ಲವೇ?

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ನನಗೆ ಬಂದಿರುವ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೂಲಿಗಾರರು ಸಿಕ್ಕುತ್ತಾ, ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದಿದೆ. ವಾರ ವಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೂಲಿ ದುಡ್ಡನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಮಾಡುವ ಆವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯಿದ್ದರೆ ನಕಾರ್ರದ ಅಭ್ಯಂತರವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—"ಸ್ಪೇರಸಿಟಿ ಏರಿಯಾ", ಎಂದು ಡಿಕ್ಲೇರ್ ಮಾಡಿದಮೇಲೆ, ಜನಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿನಿತ್ಯದ ಆಹಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಂದರೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕೂಲಿಗಾರರು 15-20 ದಿವಸಗಳು ಕೆಲಸಮಾಡಿ ಕೂಲಿಸಿಕ್ಕದೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಜನ ಕೂಲಿಗಾರರು ಹೋಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲಸ ಹೇರಳವಾಗಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಿರುವಾಗ ಕೂಲಿಗಾರರು ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಬಾರದೆಹೋದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ಷಾಮ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ತಾವು ಹೇಳುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೂಲಿಗಾರರು ಬರುತ್ತಾ, ಇದ್ದರೆ, ಬೇಗ ಬೇಗ ವಾರ ವಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕೂಲಿ ದುಡ್ಡನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಮಾಡುವ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ನನ್ನ ಅಭ್ಯಂತರವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಗೆ ಟೆಕ್ನಿಕಲ್ ಕ್ಲಿಯರೆನ್ಸ್ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷಗಳಾದವು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಕೆಲಸ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಜುಲೈ ತಿಂಗಳೆನಲ್ಲಿ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಮೇಲೆ ಟೆಂಡರ್ ಕಾಲ್ ಫಾರ್ ಮಾಡಿತು.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—Is it not a fact that almost next door to this work, high level canal work is going on and if contractors are not coming forward to tender, it is because the estimates are not properly made or because rates that are adopted are less than those prevailing in regard to the high level canal work?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The Hon'ble Member knows that nothing prevents the contractors from quoting their own rates. After all, we have prepared the estimate long time back. So, nothing prevents the contractors from quoting their own rates.